

To: CN=Don Waye/OU=DC/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]
Cc: Allison Castellan [allison.castellan@noaa.gov]; N=David Powers/OU=R10/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Jayne Carlin/OU=R10/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Lynda Hall/OU=DC/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]; N=Jayne Carlin/OU=R10/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Lynda Hall/OU=DC/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]; N=Lynda Hall/OU=DC/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]
From: CN=Jennifer Wu/OU=R10/O=USEPA/C=US
Sent: Tue 5/1/2012 7:30:30 PM
Subject: Re: REPLY PLS: Two Versions of the OR CZARA Progress Letter?
Carlin.Jayne@epamail.epa.gov
[\(206\) 553-8512](tel:2065538512)
[\(206\) 553-0165](tel:2065530165)
carlin.jayne@epa.gov
<http://www.epa.gov/r10earth/tmdl.htm>
[206-553-6328](tel:2065536328)
[206-553-0165](tel:2065530165)
<http://www.epa.gov/r10earth/tmdl.htm>
allison.castellan@noaa.gov
<http://coastalmanagement.noaa.gov>
 (embedded image)

That sounds good. And in rereading my comments, I hope it was clear that when I said the letter is unrepresentative of the Mid-Coast process, it's not your response I'm referring to, it was NWEA's letter. Keeping the response short and sweet makes sense.

Jenny Wu
 U.S. EPA Region 10
 Watershed Unit
 1200 6th Avenue, Suite 900 (OWW-134)
 Seattle, WA 98101
 206-553-6328 (phone)
 206-553-0165 (fax)

<http://www.epa.gov/r10earth/tmdl.htm>

From: Don Waye/DC/USEPA/US
To: Allison Castellan <allison.castellan@noaa.gov>, David Powers/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Jayne Carlin/R10/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: Jennifer Wu/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Lynda Hall/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 05/01/2012 09:14 AM
Subject: Re: REPLY PLS: Two Versions of the OR CZARA Progress Letter?

I agree with Allison that a point-by point response would not be productive. We need a single joint federal response from NOAA and EPA to Nina's letter. Short and sweet.

Don Waye
 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
 Nonpoint Source Control Branch (OWOW/AWPD)

USPS Mailing Address:	Office (FedEx/UPS delivery):
Mail Code 4503T	Room 7417H
1200 Pennsylvania Ave, NW	1301 Constitution Ave, NW
Washington, DC 20460	Washington, DC 20004

Phone: (202) 566-1170 Fax: (202) 566-1331
Email: waye.don@epa.gov Website: epa.gov/nps

From: Allison Castellan <allison.castellan@noaa.gov>
To: Jayne Carlin/R10/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: Jennifer Wu/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, David Powers/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Don Waye/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 05/01/2012 09:22 AM
Subject: Re: REPLY PLS: Two Versions of the OR CZARA Progress Letter?

As Dave and I discussed and I discussed with my office leadership and NOAA GC, we believe a short response is appropriate here. A point by point response to Nina's points wouldn't likely be very productive at this time. Dave spoke with Nina and her main reason for writing the letter was to "light a fire" so to speak at the state. If EPA decides to do a longer response, NOAA will likely send a separate response but it would be good if we could have a coordinated NOAA/EPA response.

On Mon, Apr 30, 2012 at 5:27 PM, Jayne Carlin <Carlin.Jayne@epamail.epa.gov> wrote:
Hi,

Jenny has made some good points. Is this a topic to be covered on the May 8 meeting with Mike B? Should we provide him with both types of responses and hold a discussion on which is the most appropriate response to send? What do you think?

Regards,

Jayne Carlin, OR CZARA 6217 Coordinator
Watersheds Unit
US EPA, Region 10
1200 6th Ave, Suite 900 (OWW-134)
Seattle, WA 98101-3140
(206) 553-8512, (206) 553-0165 (fax)
carlin.jayne@epa.gov

<http://www.epa.gov/r10earth/tmdl.htm>

Jennifer Wu---04/30/2012 11:20:21 AM---Hi Jayne, I looked over the NOAA and EPA response letter. It looks like we're choosing a short resp

From: Jennifer Wu/R10/USEPA/US
To: Jayne Carlin/R10/USEPA/US@EPA,
Cc: David Powers/R10/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 04/30/2012 11:20 AM
Subject: Comments on the NOAA letter

Hi Jayne,

I looked over the NOAA and EPA response letter. It looks like we're choosing a short response, though Nina charges a lot of things in her letter attacking/talking about her fears with how the Mid-Coast process is unfolding. My only question would be whether we should acknowledge how we understand the process is unfolding and the areas we agree or don't disagree on in the letter. Given how complicated that could be, I can see why you might just go for the short response.

I think there are problems and slipping deadlines in meeting the CZARA Settlement Agreement. But as far as progress on the Mid-Coast, I think ODEQ is doing a good job with the task they're dealing with. While we need to stay on top of them for certain key issues (making sure that BMPs adequately demonstrate meeting LAs, making sure everything within the scope of the TMDL is

addressed, and making sure appropriate groups are assigned LAs), the letter seems unrepresentative of the dialogue and process that's going on in the Mid-Coast TMDL.

If you opt for a longer response, let me know, and I can take a crack at more specific comments in the text.

Jenny Wu
U.S. EPA Region 10
Watershed Unit
1200 6th Avenue, Suite 900 (OWW-134)
Seattle, WA 98101
206-553-6328 (phone)
206-553-0165 (fax)

<http://www.epa.gov/r10earth/tmdl.htm>

--

~~ <> ~ ~ >< ~ ~ <> ~ ~

Allison Castellan
Coastal Management Specialist
Office of Ocean and Coastal Resource Management N/ORM3
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, SSMC4
Silver Spring, MD 20910
Phone: 301-563-1125
Fax: 301-713-4004
allison.castellan@noaa.gov
<http://coastalmanagement.noaa.gov>